UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Dwight Allen, Petitioner

v. Case No. 1:12-cv-652

Warden, Warren Correctional Institution, Respondent

ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation filed November 26, 2013 (Doc. 19).

Proper notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. See United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). As of the date of this Order, no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation have been filed.

Having reviewed this matter <u>de novo</u> pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, we find the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation correct.

Accordingly, it is **ORDERED** that the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is hereby **ADOPTED**. Respondent's motion to dismiss is **GRANTED**. Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

A certificate of appealability will not issue with respect to petitioner's sole ground for relief, which this Court has concluded is barred from review on a procedural ground because under the first prong of the applicable two-part standard enunciated in *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000), "jurists of reason

would not find it debatable as to whether this Court is correct in its procedural rulings."

This Court certifies that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) an appeal of this Order would not be taken in good faith, and therefore **DENIES** petitioner leave to appeal *in forma pauperis*. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 24(a); *Kincade v. Sparkman*, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6th Cir. 1997).

Date: January 4, 2014 <u>s/Sandra S. Beckwith</u>

Sandra S. Beckwith, Senior Judge United States District Court